



**A156.18 Material and Finishes
March 29, 2019 11:00am – 12:30pm**

Attendees:

Robert Strong (C)	dormakaba	Brian Lindemuth	dormakaba
Earl Delph	Allegion	Eric Bellamy	Spectrum
Eric Moser	Allegion	Ernie Mitchell	townsteel
Kurt Roeper	ASSA ABLOY	Mark Harrison	Intertek
Chris Senger	ASSA ABLOY	Bobby Lawson	
Jeff Trull	ASSA ABLOY	Pamela Fisher	
Stewart Jackson	Bommer	Michael Tierney	BHMA
Juan Hernandez	Detex	Karen Bishop	BHMA

The meeting was called to order at 11:03am by subcommittee chairman Robert Strong; the January 31st, 2019 meeting minutes were approved as written.

The comments and CPD spread sheet form the small task group on unique finishes was reviewed:

1. Comments review- the subcommittee accepted all comments with the exception of item C on CPD designations (numbers or unique identifiers). The subcommittee asked the group to reconvene and add additional wording to clarify. Group discussed the issue of allowing manufacturers to select their own designations; i.e. one manufacturer having a number/ designation for a green finish and another manufacturer having the same number/ designation with a blue finish. Question raised as to if this would confusing to the customer in the long run.
STG to develop language for item C and resubmit to subcommittee at spring meeting for review.
2. CPD template review – no comments

Proposals:

Michael Tierney’s (BHMA STAFF) proposals for definitions, appendix, forward/ section 5, categories in 4.3 and language on unique finishes.

1. Definitions: Subcommittee agreed to separate definitions for anodizing, plating, oxidizing and match plates. Additional work was needed for new organic and inorganic definitions and Chris Senger (ASSA ABLOY) agreed to provide a proposal for these for review at the spring meeting. Group agreed to remove vacuum applied coating.
2. Appendix: Group agreed to changes with exception of removal of powder coat as a type of paint- language was added to the organic definition and group agreed to the modified language.
3. Forward/ Section 5: Group agreed to add the language to section 5 with stipulation that the STG on unique finishes work on clarifying the language.
4. Categories 4.3: Group discussed if there was a need to have unique finishes within Cat C and/or if the wording correctly allowed unique finishes. The subcommittee agreed to put the language from the proposal into cat C to be fleshed out at a later time. Michael Tierney stated he would review and may provide an updated proposal.
5. Appendix or OM – language addressing unique finishes. Group agreed to add language to Appendix.

Section 3.3 proposals (dormakaba and Allegion)

Allegion proposed that the tape brands be removed and replaced with the reference to the ASTM (D3359) for the tape requirements. Stating the group should not be tied to specific brands but to meeting the ASTM requirements. Group questioned if they would get different results using different tapes. Earl Delph (Allegion), stated that the group should be able to trust the cert requirements for the tape that the ASTM requires. Group discussed what requirements were required by ASTM D3359, such as peel strength and other factors. Group finally agreed to table for now to allow research by group members.

Section 6 – start review

Group started review of section 6 and it was noted that language and requirements were not aligned across the stds placed in section 6. Some standards were missing requirements/ classifications (i.e humidity). Group determined that a small task group should be formed to review and formulate responses to the sections for any missing information or needed changes. Bob Strong, Brian Lindemuth, Chris Senger, Earl Delph, Eric Moser and Quan Nguyen volunteered.

Josh Peabody Spectrophotometer/Colorimeter Tolerance Example for appendix update and Ernie Mitchell Proposal/ Comments, to be reviewed at the next meeting.

Next Meeting: Spring Meeting in Denver CO May 14-16th

Meeting Adjourned 12:28pm