

A156.18 Material and Finishes
December 3, 2018 11:00am – 12:30pm

Attendees:

Robert Strong	dormakaba	Stewart Jackson	Bommer Industries
Mandeep Singh	dormakaba	Juan Hernandez	Detex Corp.
Earl Delph	Allegion	Mark McRae	Hagar Companies
Eric Moser	Allegion	Matt Taylor	HOPPE
Kurt Roeper	ASSA ABLOY	Clyde Roberson	Medeco Security Locks
Dick Kreidel	ASSA ABLOY	Quan Nguyen	Spectrum Brands
Chris Senger	ASSA ABLOY	Eric Bellamy	Spectrum Brands
Mia Merrel	ASSA ABLOY	Ernie Mitchell	townsteel
Andrea Waterman	ASSA ABLOY	Mark Harrison	Intertek
Jeff Trull	ASSA ABLOY	Michael Tierney	BHMA
Mike Hannon	Bommer Industries	Karen Bishop	BHMA

The meeting was called to order at 11:03am by subcommittee chairman Robert Strong; the December 3rd, 2018 meeting minutes were approved as written.

ASSA ABLOY (Chris Senger) proposal was reviewed:

1. Proposal to expand finish classification and allow manufacturers with unique finishes to have a means of certifying to a performance requirement. The proposal included language clarification in forward, addition of text in section 4.3 and expansion of organic and inorganic coatings definitions in section 2.3. Group discussed rule of needing three or more manufacturers utilizing the finish to add to the standard. Question was raised on how to address and track all of the unique numbers that could end up being generated? It was proposed that open text boxes could be used within the CPD to allow for unique finish descriptions and that Kellen/ BHMA staff could potentially assign and track the numbers. What avenue would be in place to determine and harmonize what was/ is unique to common was discussed at length with no real consensus on how to address. Group agreed to move proposal to the parking lot, while Chris Senger further developed his proposal. Small task group to speak with Erika on how it could be addressed, if needed, within the CPD.

Hagar (Mark McRae) proposal was reviewed:

Section 5.2 Correction of incorrect category letters assigned. Mark noted that several category “A” finishes should be either D or E because all painted finishes. Group agreed to change.

dormakaba (Robert Strong) proposals were reviewed:

1. Scope- Delete 1.1 “viewing comparative finishes” as there are currently no requirements within the standard for to visibly compare finishes. Group noted viewing formulas/ criteria were provided in 4.3.3 A-3 and A-2. Dormkaba, stated that while 4.3 has value, the statement did not belong in the scope since there was no criteria assigned. Michael Tierney (BHMA) stated removing it would be a significant change and could impact the need to have a category A moving forward. Several members proposed changing the sentence structure and including the word “evaluating”. Group agreed include the modified version in the draft.
2. Remaining proposals to be reviewed at the next meeting.

It was determined that a small task group would be formed to address unique finishes so that remaining work on A156.18 could move forward.

Next meeting to be January 14th , 2019

~~In Foreword. Proposal to delete language Numbers 001–599 and words “*the Standard is suitable for use in other industries*”. It was noted that the numbers were not currently being used and should not be used since this is an industry standard and not for individual manufactures. Group declined to strike numbers, but agreed to accept removal of language of standard being suitable for other industries.~~

~~2. In the Scope. Proposal to add language that The Salt Spray test is the only BHMA A156.18 test that requires each finish to be tested with each base material used. Intent was to clarify and control number of samples required for each test. Group discussed whether salt spray was required for every finish (no), and noted that there was a discrepancy in addressing groupings between .18 and the OM. Group agreed to place in parking lot to address later.~~

~~3. In Forward or Appendix. Proposal to add all finish language from the OM (plus the table) to either the forward or in the appendix. Intent was to consolidate all the finish information and requirements into a common location. Group agreed to add information and chart to Parking Lot and address at a later date.~~

~~4. Section 1.4. Proposal to add language to note that mechanical requirements are covered in other standards. Group agreed to a modified version of wording to be placed in the draft “*Related additional performance requirements are covered in BHMA Publications*”.~~

~~5. Section 2 Definitions. Proposal to add definitions for paint and powder coat. Group agreed to place in parking lot and Chris Senger agreed to provide a proposal for the definitions (using some language in appendix) at a later date.~~

~~6. Section 6. Add the Standard’s titles along with the standard number. Group agreed.~~

~~Allegion (Earl Delph): Proposed charts for the appendix were reviewed. Noted that changes could not be made in the word draft, only the in excel version. Excel version to be provided for members to review.~~

~~Additional action item for group; Review section 4 and starting formulating ways to create ANSI# for use within the CPD.~~

~~Chairman requested the group start with a review of the current draft before reviewing proposals at the next meeting.~~

~~Doodle poll to go out for the next meeting.~~

Meeting adjourned at 12:~~34~~32 pm.