

A156.18 Material and Finishes
September 13, 2018 11:00am – 12:30pm

Attendees

Bob Strong, dormakaba	Jeff Trull, ASSA ABLOY
Brian Lindemuth, dormakaba	Mike Hannon, Bommer
Earl Delph, Allegion	Robert Dalton, Compxnet
Eric Moser, Allegion	Clyde Roberson, Medeco
Matt Phillips, Allegion	Mark Harrison, Intertek
Dick Kriedel, ASSA ABLOY	John Woestman, BHMA
Chris Senger, ASSA ABLOY	Michael Tierney, BHMA
Mandy Swofford, ASSA ABLOY	Karen Bishop, BHMA

The meeting was called to order at 11:02AM by subcommittee chairman Robert Strong; the August 23rd, 2018 meeting minutes were amended to correct typos and then approved as written.

The group reviewed ANSI # / CPD proposals supplied by Allegion and dormakaba.

Allegion proposal discussion points:

- Allegion (Earl Delph) stated their proposal had been developed by considering what information is typically supplied to the customer.
- He noted that the approach to Allegion's ANSI proposal does not match the direction that the charts placed in the draft were taking.
- All finishes that correlate are tested and covered together across the standards in the proposal.
- He pointed out that the charts were originally established in order to see all of the finishing criteria in one place. And once Allegion considered the information that needed to be provided to the customers and within the CPD, Allegion could not see how the information within the charts would provide the appropriate information for the ANSI # within CPD without causing confusion to the customer and development of the CPD.
- It was also noted and agreed to by the group, that equivalents are rarely used.
- Allegion recommend keeping information in the draft but removing the charts. Group could drop the ANSI numbering proposal, by Allegion, into each standard, but it would make it convoluted and would not account for how finishes are tested today.
- Earl stated their ANSI proposal is meant to be inclusive to the brand. Brand definition was defined as brand with a manufacturer.
- Instead of vendor based, the data supplied becomes manufacturer based.
- Chris Senger (ASSA ABLOY) affirmed that the test reports, as seen in the Allegion proposal, are key to establishing any development for the CPD, especially with OEM scenarios, allowing the report numbers to expand across multiple areas of the chart but still allow the brand differentiators.

dormakaba proposal discussion points:

- Bob Strong (dormakaba) stated their concept is basically the same as Allegion's, but keeps the groupings. Groupings- show what standards go together.

- Maintaining the groupings makes it easier for mapping the CPD, as the CPD can pull the standard number or the finish number out of the groups. This can also potentially be used to create tables within the BHMA certification certificates- showing finishes complying with those standards within the groups.
- Groupings reduce the amount of data required in the CPD, which was one of the reasons for the current task.
- Group discussed potentially merging the dormakaba and Allegion concepts that could potentially allow for more search-ability.
- Allegion voiced an opinion that groupings are not something the customer understands, and while they are not opposed to the use of them directly, they just are not seeing how they can be used effectively. They also noted that within the groupings there are different requirements, some of which cannot be harmonized. So stating a product meets the requirements of group E or F specifically, could increase testing and costs.
- Committee noted that if grouping were used that the letter designation of the groups would need to be changed because both the finish groups and certification groups would have the same lettering designation – creating confusion. This was something the group noted could be easily addressed.

Group briefly discussed use of the BHMA#'s or US #'s. Agreement was to maintain focus on 600-700' numbers but to keep some visibility and potential reference US#'s since they are used in A156.3 and A156.1.

After additional discussion on the road taken to the current direction, the group decided to send the two concepts to the SSC for discussion. Before that, BHMA staff (Karen Bishop) reminded the group that the two concepts should be reviewed by D&R to ensure that the concepts meet the requirements of the developers. BHMA staff (Karen Bishop) to arrange a conference call for review prior to the SSC mtg. Mike Tierney to schedule the SSC call for September 21, 2018. Allegion (Earl Delph) and dormakaba (Bob Strong) to present and explain their concepts to the SSC.

Next Meeting would be at the fall meeting in San Diego October 9, 2018

Meeting adjourned at 12:27pm