

## A156.37 Multi Point December 4, 2018 11:00am – 12:30PM

### Attendees:

Matt Taylor	HOPPE	Chris Senger	ASSA ABLOY
Jonathon Carron	Allegion	Jeff Trull	ASSA ABLOY
Earl Delph	Allegion	Eric Bellamy	Detex
Matt Phillips	Allegion	Mark Berger	Securitech
Chris Salisbury	Allegion	Brian Brunson	Intertek
Brian Fournier	ASSA ABLOY	Mike Tierney	BHMA
Mia Merrel	ASSA ABLOY	Karen Bishop	BHMA

The meeting was called to order at 11:04 PM by subcommittee chairman Matt Taylor; as this was the opening meeting there were no previous meeting minutes to approve.

There were no canvass comments from previous addition to resolve.

### ASSA ABLOY Proposals (Mia Merrel)

- Section 7 operational tests- subsection 7.1 Force to retract unloaded bolts- 7.1.5 Requirements. Proposal to change maximum values- The current values are based on mortise locks, but a multipoint lock operates other pieces that a mortise lock doesn't interact with, so the values should increase. Noted that the values for operational testing for exits is just a starting point.
  - Group noted that the values would be going from a lower torque to a higher torque which may be problematic considering that many of these locks end up on classroom doors where younger individuals would be operating the devices.
  - Group agreed to place the proposal into the parking lot of the draft and suggested that everyone review before deciding to split the requirements or change them.
  - Mia Merrel agreed to provide a new proposal for consideration at the next meeting.
- Section 9 – table 9.3.- LOAD- Proposal to change Grade 1 load from 10lbf to 20 lbf. Multipoint locks use exit device type vertical rods and latches, mechanisms are different and should be subjected to exits preload instead of mortise.
  - Question was raised as to whether torque was measured on the trim of exit devices exterior? Group determined it was. Question was raised as to whether that would be a better value to use. Further discussion noted that in A156.3 it is a preload with 10lbf the lever is always underload. (group reviewed Figure in Appendix C in A156.3 showing 10lbf). It was noted that it needed to be clear that it is not a preload and that the standard should be clear on what side the load is applied.
  - Group declined to add existing proposal language to the draft.
  - Mia Merrel agreed to provide a new proposal clarifying values and placement of load for consideration at the next meeting
- Section 9 – table 9.3.- Cycle- Proposal to change cycle requirements for grade 1 to 1million, grade 2 to 500k, grade 3 to 250k to improve the performance requirements. The performance requirements are aligned with the current requirements of A156.12.
  - Group agreed to add to parking lot for later discussion after determining load requirements

### HOPPE Verbal Proposal (Matt Taylor)-

- Matt proposed that BHMA consider a residential version of the standard.
  - Group noted that this would require creating a list and contacting other manufacturers who may not be current members who may have an interest, as well as gaining understanding the impact of such a standard in the market.

- Question was raised as to whether another association may have an existing standard? It was noted that AAMA 909 does but that it may not include BHMA members with an interest or others who may be interested in joining BHMA if they create a standard.
- BHMA staff informed the group that review for the creation of any new standard was handled by the SSC. BHMA Staff will add the request to the next SSC meeting agenda.

SSC Sponsor's Checklist (Kurt Roeper) - SSC sponsor was not available to attend call. Group reviewed the submitted SSC checklist and suggestions (which mirrored ASSA ABLOY's three proposals submitted by Mia Merrel). No comments by group. Group would look to Kurt Roeper to speak to the checklist at the next meeting if he wished to do so.

Next meeting – winter meeting in Tampa- Thursday January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2019 10:00am – 11:00am

Meeting adjourned at 12:32 PM